



March 15, 2017  
NVRTA Agenda Item 9.2  
Continued From: New  
**Action Requested: APPROVE**

## NAPA VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY Board Agenda Letter

---

**TO:** Board of Directors  
**FROM:** Kate Miller, Executive Director  
**REPORT BY:** Antonio Onorato, Program Manager- Finance  
(707) 259-8779 / Email: [aonorato@nvta.ca.gov](mailto:aonorato@nvta.ca.gov)  
**SUBJECT:** Rescind Resolution No. 16-24 Adopting the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration and Approve Amendment No. 3 to Project Work Order No. 01 Agreement 15-27 with Rincon Consultants Commissioning an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility Project

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

That the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVRTA) Board:

1. Rescind Resolution No. 16-24 (Attachment 1) approving the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility project ("the Project") pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (CEQA); and
2. Authorize Amendment #3 of NVRTA Agreement No. 15-27 Project Work Order No. 01 with Rincon Consultants to commission an Environmental Impact Report for \$47,972 (Attachment 2) plus 10% contingency to allow for minor variations within the project scope.

### **COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

None

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Resolution 16-24 adopted the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility project ("the Project") Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) Report on December 21, 2016. NVRTA and its consultants, Rincon Consultants Inc. completed the IS/MND which analyzed potential environmental impacts of the Project located 8.08 acres at the west and south terminus of Sheehy Ct. in unincorporated Napa County. Nearby businesses

and property owners have raised some issues and concerns which warrant further environmental analysis. In response to those concerns, staff is recommending commissioning an EIR to fully evaluate the potential impacts associated with the transit maintenance facility project.

### **PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS**

1. Staff Report
2. Public Comments
3. Motion, Second, Discussion and Vote

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact? Yes. The cost of an EIR will be \$47,972 plus a 10% contingency of \$4,792 to allow for minor variation in the work authorization for a total project cost of \$52,764.

Is it currently budgeted? No. However, the Congestion Management Agency can absorb the cost without an additional appropriation since some projects with a current year budget are not expected to begin until the next fiscal year.

Where is it budgeted? Not applicable

Future fiscal impact: None

Consequences if not approved: The proposed Project is subject to review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), which requires permitting agencies to identify potential impacts to the environment that would occur as a result of implementation of a proposed project.

### **CEQA REQUIREMENTS**

Environmental Determination: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

### **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) is the primary state law that requires public agencies and their decision-makers to understand and evaluate the environmental consequences of their discretionary decisions before making them. Moreover, CEQA requires that public agencies not only consider, but take action to mitigate or avoid significant adverse environmental impacts where feasible. The lead agency may commission a negative declaration (ND), mitigated negative declaration (MND), or environmental impact report (EIR).

---

A (mitigated) negative declaration is a document that states upon completion of an initial study, that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment.

An EIR is an informational document which informs the public agency decision-makers and the public generally of:

- the significant environmental effects of a project
- possible ways to minimize significant effects
- reasonable alternatives to the project

An (M)ND for a project is favored when the IS has identified potentially significant effects on the environment, but (1) revisions in the project plans or proposals made by, or agreed to by the applicant before the proposed environmental determination and IS are released for public review would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effect on the environment would occur, and (2) there is no substantial evidence in light of the whole record before the public agency that the project, as revised, may have a significant effect on the environment. A full EIR may be recommended especially when litigation is likely. CEQA regulations favor EIRs and the law effectively protects lead agencies who prepare them by applying the “substantial evidence” standard of judicial review to EIR challenges.

Therefore, staff recommends commissioning an EIR to further study the environmental impacts of the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility project and mollify any concerns from nearby property owners.

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Attachments: (1) Resolution No. 16-24  
(2) Amendment #3 to Project Work Order No. 01 Agreement No. 15-27



**RESOLUTION No. 16-24**

**RESOLUTION OF THE  
NAPA VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (NVTA)  
APPROVE AND CERTIFY THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION  
FOR THE VINE TRANSIT MAINTENANCE BUS FACILITY**

**WHEREAS**, the Napa Valley Transportation Authority (NVTA) is designated the countywide transportation planning agency responsible for highway, street and road, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian planning and programming within Napa County; and

**WHEREAS**, this resolution of the NVTA adopting the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration ("IS/MND") State Clearinghouse #2016102012 for the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility Project ("Project") as being in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the State CEQA Guidelines; and

**WHEREAS**, the IS/MND contained mitigation measures designed to reduce environmental impacts to a less than significant level; and

**WHEREAS**, a Notice of Intent to adopt a mitigated negative declaration was posted at the Napa County Clerk's office on October 6<sup>th</sup>, 2016; and

**WHEREAS**, on October 6<sup>th</sup>, 2016, under CEQA Guideline Section 15073, the Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration was sent for posting for the IS/MND to the Napa Valley Register, the State Clearinghouse, relevant State Agencies, local agencies, and the adjacent property owners/occupiers within a ½ mile radius of the parcels, and within a ½ mile radius from the intersection of Soscol Ferry Road and Devlin Road, for public review, with a review period commencing on October 7<sup>th</sup>, 2016 and closed on November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2016; and

**WHEREAS**, NVTA independently reviewed the mitigated negative declaration and considered written and public comments on the proposed Project received through November 9<sup>th</sup>; and

**WHEREAS**, the Initial Study/Final Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Project, together with public comments and the response to comments were read and considered by the NVTA Board, and are, by this reference, incorporated into this Resolution and adopted by this Board as Exhibits A as if fully set forth herein; and

**WHEREAS**, the NVTA held a duly noticed public hearing on the Initial Study/Final Mitigated Negative Declaration on December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2016, heard public testimony and closed the public hearing, and prepared a resolution based on the Board's tentative decision to adopt the Initial Study and Final Mitigated Negative Declaration; and

**WHEREAS**, after receiving public comments, some insubstantial revisions were made to the Mitigated Negative Declaration which do not require recirculation pursuant to CEQA Guidelines 15073.5(c)4:

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, the Board of the Napa Valley Transportation Authority makes the following findings and takes the following actions:


1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (Final IS/MND) for the Plan, attached as Exhibit "A," has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code sections 21000 *et seq.* ("CEQA") and California Code of Regulations, Title 14, sections 15000 *et seq.* ("CEQA Guidelines").
3. The Final IS/MND was prepared, published, circulated, and reviewed in accordance with the requirements of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, that the Final IS/MND is adequate, accurate, objective, and complete; and has been independently analyzed by NVTa, and the final report together with the comments and the response to comments reflects NVTa's independent judgment (Pub. Res. Code 21082.1).
4. The Board hereby finds that if the mitigation measures set forth in the Final MND are carried out:
  - a. The Plan does not have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory;
  - b. The Plan does not have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals;
  - c. The Plan does not have environmental effects which are individually limited but are cumulatively considerable;
  - d. The Plan will not have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly;
5. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines sections 15074, and consistent with and based on the findings set forth above and in the Final IS/MND, in support of its approval of the Plan, the Board finds that on the basis of the whole record before it, that there is no substantial evidence in the record that the Plan will have a significant effect on the environment; and find that the mitigation

measures described in the Final IS/MND will reduce all environmental impacts of the Plan to less than significant levels.

6. On behalf of NVTa, the Board hereby adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, as set forth in Appendix B of Exhibit "A" of this Resolution, pursuant to CEQA section 21081.6 and CEQA Guideline section 15074, in support of approval of the Plan, in order to ensure implementation of all reasonably feasible mitigation and other measures identified in the MND. The NVTa Board find that these mitigation measures are fully enforceable conditions of the Plan and shall be binding on NVTa and all other affected parties.
7. The Board directs that, pursuant to CEQA Guideline section 15075, staff immediately file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk of Napa County and with the State Office of Planning and Research.
8. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15074(c), the custodian of the documents and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings upon which the Napa Valley Transportation Authority has based its decision is the NVTa Executive Director; and the documents and materials are located in and may be obtained from offices of the Napa Valley Transportation Authority, 625 Burnell Street, Napa CA 94559 or accessible online at [www.nvta.ca.gov](http://www.nvta.ca.gov).

**THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS DULY AND REGULARLY ADOPTED**

by the Board of Directors of the Napa Valley Transportation Authority, at a regular meeting held on December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2016, by the following vote:

  
~~Peter White, NVTa Chair~~  
*John Dunbar, Acting*  
*NVTa Chair*

Ayes: Garcia(2), Ramos(2),  
Barnes(1), Canning(1),  
Techel(5), Gentry(5),  
Caldwell(2), Pedroza(2),  
Galbraith(1), Dunbar(1)

Nays: None

Absent: White(1), Dorman(1)

ATTEST:

  
Karalyn E. Sanderlin, NVTa Board Secretary

APPROVED:

  
Jennifer Gore, NVTa Legal Counsel

**CERTIFICATIONS**

I hereby certify that I am the Secretary of the Napa Valley Transportation Authority and custodian of the records for that Authority and that this Resolution is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the Authority office.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Karalyn E. Sanderlin, NVT Authority Secretary

## EXHIBIT “A”

Due to file size constraints the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility is available for review at the NVTA Offices, 625 Burnell Street, Napa CA or by clicking on the following links:

*Access the reports via [www.nvta.ca.gov](http://www.nvta.ca.gov) :*

Initial Study/ Mitigated Negative Declaration

<http://www.nvta.ca.gov/sites/default/files/Vine%20Facility%20Final%20Draft%20IS-MND.pdf>

Appendices

<http://www.nvta.ca.gov/sites/default/files/Vine%20Facility%20IS-MND%20Public%20Draft%20-%20Appendices.pdf>

Comments and Responses/Revisions to the Draft Initial Study-Mitigated Negative Declaration

[http://www.nvta.ca.gov/sites/default/files/Vine%20Facility%20Draft%20Responses-Comments\\_0.pdf](http://www.nvta.ca.gov/sites/default/files/Vine%20Facility%20Draft%20Responses-Comments_0.pdf)

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

<http://www.nvta.ca.gov/sites/default/files/Vine%20Transit%20Project%20Draft%20MMRP.pdf>



**PROJECT WORK ORDER NO. 01**

**AMENDMENT #3**

**PROJECT NAME:** Environmental Study Sheehy Court - Napa

**NVTA PROJECT MANAGER:** Antonio Onorato, Manager of Finance

**CONSULTANT DESIGNATED TEAM MEMBERS:**

- RINCON Staff – See Exhibit A, Attachment D – Personnel Assignments
- Subconsultants – PGA Design, see EXHIBIT A, Attachment E.1  
DKS, see EXHIBIT A, Attachment E.2

**SCOPE OF SERVICE:** See Scope of Services/Proposal for Services dated *MARCH 18, 2016*, EXHIBIT A, and Fee Schedule attached as EXHIBIT B.

**IN ORDER FOR THE CONTRACTOR TO COMPLETE THE UNFORESEEN ADDITIONAL REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR) NEEDED FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT, BOTH PARTIES AGREE TO:**

- 1. *EXTEND THE COMPLETION DATE TO JUNE 30, 2018***
- 2. *INCREASE THE PROJECT AMOUNT BY \$47,972***

START DATE: *APRIL 18, 2016*

COMPLETION DATE: *DECEMBER 31, 2017 (A#2)*

**NEW COMPLETION DATE: JUNE 30, 2018**

NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT FOR THIS PROJECT: \$ 159,228

**NEW – NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT FOR THIS PROJECT: \$207,200**

**CHARGE NUMBER FOR PAYMENT:** TDA8 8301001 52310

CMA\_PLAN\_PRGMS TRANSIT\_YARD

**TERMS AND CONDITIONS:** This Project Work Order is issued and entered into as of the last date written below in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the “Master Agreement with (CONTRACTOR) dated DECEMBER 31, 2016, which terms are hereby incorporated and made part of this Project Work Order.

NVTA

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
KATE MILLER, Executive Director

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CONTRACTOR

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature (Printed Name and Title of Authorized Person)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tax ID: 77-039-0093



**Rincon Consultants, Inc.**

449 15th Street, Suite 303

Oakland, California 94612

510 834 4455

FAX 834 4433

info@rinconconsultants.com

www.rinconconsultants.com

February 16, 2017

Project 16-02601

Antonio Onorato, Manager of Finance, Grants & Administration  
Napa Valley Transportation Authority  
Soscol Gateway Transit Center  
625 Burnell Street  
Napa, California 94559

**Re: Second Proposal to Add Services for the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility  
CEQA and NEPA Documentation Project**

Dear Mr. Onorato:

This letter is Rincon Consultants' second proposal to add services to our existing agreement for the Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility CEQA and NEPA documentation. This proposal responds to NVTA's decision to prepare an environmental impact report (EIR) for the proposed Bus Maintenance Facility. Rincon Consultants has prepared hundreds of EIRs for public and private projects throughout California, including projects with multiple resource issues and high levels of public involvement. Our proposed scope of work for an EIR follows.

## **SCOPE OF WORK**

### **Task 1 – Initial Study, Notice of Preparation of an EIR, and Scoping Meeting**

**Subtask 1.1 – Initial Study.** The Initial study will be adapted from the Initial Study-Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS-MND) already prepared for the project, and updated with information that arose during the IS-MND public review process as warranted. The IS-MND will be modified to function as an Initial Study leading to preparation of an EIR, identifying the topics which will need further study in the main body of the EIR. For topics where mitigation is required but further study in an EIR section is not deemed necessary, those mitigation measures will be brought into the EIR's executive summary and, ultimately, the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

The Initial Study will become an appendix to the EIR, and may also be attached to the Notice of Preparation if desired by NVTA/schedule permitting.

**Subtask 1.2 – Notice of Preparation.** Rincon will prepare a Notice of Preparation (NOP), consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15082. The NOP will include a brief project description, project location, and summary of the probable environmental effects of the



project. Rincon will distribute the NOP to the State Clearinghouse, County Clerk and identified responsible and trustee agencies via certified mail. NVTa may also wish to notify other interested parties of the NOP; Rincon can assist with this additional noticing as needed.

**Subtask 1.3 – Scoping Meeting.** Although not technically required by CEQA, if desired by NVTa Rincon will lead an EIR scoping meeting scheduled by NVTa staff. Rincon would develop a presentation that addresses the CEQA process, the project description and the purpose of the scoping meeting. Rincon will also prepare a summary of comments received at the scoping meeting to ensure that environmental concerns raised are addressed in the EIR as warranted.

## **Task 2 – Administrative Draft EIR**

This task includes all components necessary to complete the environmental impact analysis. Based on the analysis in the IS-MND and public comments received, it is anticipated that the EIR will focus on the issue areas of Biological Resources and Hydrology/Water Quality. For these EIR sections, Rincon will incorporate information from the IS-MND, augmented with additional information, discussion and analysis as warranted. Sources may include the Napa Valley Business Park Specific Plan EIR and comments received from the public on the Draft IS-MND. We anticipate that the existing environmental analysis performed for the IS-MND will account for the majority of the EIR analyses, allowing a streamlined and efficient process; these savings are reflected in our proposed budget for these additional services.

**Subtask 2.1 – Executive Summary.** This section will summarize the proposed project and associated environmental consequences. Impacts will be presented in tabular format to simplify review by decision-makers and the general public.

**Subtask 2.2 – Introduction, Project Description, and Environmental Setting.** These required introductory sections will lay the groundwork for and summarize the substantive analysis to follow. The introduction will describe the purpose and legal authority of the study, and provide a discussion of lead, responsible and trustee agencies. The environmental setting will provide a general description of the existing geographic character of the site and vicinity.

The Environmental Setting section will provide a description of the existing environmental conditions in the project region and in the project area, as well as a cumulative projects scenario.

**Subtask 2.3 – Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** The main body of the EIR will consist of the assessment of potential environmental impact analysis of the proposed project. Each environmental issue addressed in the EIR will have five main subsections:

- *Setting*
- *Methodology and Significance Thresholds*



- *Impact analysis including both project-specific and cumulative impacts*
- *Mitigation measures*
- *Residual Impacts*

The setting section will describe the applicable environmental conditions of the project area. The setting will be based on existing data sources, including technical studies, adopted General and Specific Plans, etc. supplemented with additional research as needed.

For each environmental issue analysis section, the Impacts subsection will begin with a discussion of the thresholds for significance to be used and a brief discussion for all environmental issues determined to be No Impact or Less Than Significant Impact in the Initial Study, explaining why these determinations were made and that no further analysis in the EIR is warranted. The analysis will then proceed with the detailed analysis of each issue determined to be “Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation” or “Potentially Significant Impact” in the same order as these issues are provided in the Initial Study. For each environmental issue requiring EIR analysis, the EIR will state the level of significance as determined in the IS, followed by an analysis discussion, mitigation measures specific to the environmental issue, and discussion of the level of significance after mitigation.

**Subtask 2.4 - Other CEQA-Required Sections.** Also included in the EIR will be other sections required by CEQA, such as table of contents, references, persons contacted, list of preparers, and summary of potential growth inducing and significant irreversible effects.

**Subtask 2.5 - Alternatives.** This section will include the analysis of up to three alternatives intended to represent a “reasonable range” of alternatives required under CEQA, including the required No project alternative. Alternatives could include modified development programs, hours or equipment, among other options. The evaluation will be in less detail than for the proposed project, but will provide decision-makers and the public adequate information to compare the potential impacts of the respective alternatives.

**Subtask 2.6 – References and List of Preparers.** This section will provide a list of references for citations found in the body of the EIR. In addition, this section will also identify all federal, state, or local agencies, other organizations, and private individuals consulted in preparing the EIR, and the persons, firm, or agency preparing the EIR.

### **Task 3 – Screencheck Draft EIR**

Following NVTa review of the Administrative Draft EIR, Rincon will revise the document based on comments received and provide a Screencheck Draft EIR for review. Revisions can be provided in Word documents using “track changes,” or a compiled “clean” PDF may be provided for final review, depending on NVTa’s preference. This task will include responding to NVTa comments on the Administrative Draft EIR requiring a mixture of substantive corrections and minor editing; however, it is assumed that no new technical studies, revisions to the technical appendices, or site-specific data collection will be needed at this stage. The Screencheck Draft EIR will be provided in digital format only.



#### **Task 4 – Public Draft EIR**

This task involves the production, editorial work, and communication processes anticipated to publish the Draft EIR for public review and comment following NVTa review of the Screencheck Draft EIR. At this stage it is anticipated that revisions will be limited to editorial and formatting changes, if any.

Rincon will prepare all required notices for the EIR, including the Notice of Completion and Environmental Transmittal and Notice of Availability. The Draft EIR will be circulated for public comment for a period of 45 days, as required by CEQA. We assume that Rincon will assist with providing the document to the State Clearinghouse, County Clerk and responsible agencies and that NVTa will be responsible for required newspaper and other noticing of the document's availability.

#### **Task 5 – Final EIR**

The Final EIR will incorporate all comment letters received during the public review period, and will include written responses to all comments received on the Draft EIR. If corrections or clarifications are necessary, we will provide these in an addenda/errata editorial format or within a version of the document showing the changes in tracked-editing format. The Final EIR will also include or be accompanied by the required mitigation monitoring and reporting program (MMRP). The MMRP will include a table that lists each mitigation measure, agency or department responsible for each measure, when monitoring must occur, the frequency of monitoring, and criteria to determine compliance with the measures.

#### **Task 6 –Public Hearings and Notice of Determination**

Rincon's Principal in Charge or Project Manager will attend up to two public hearings on the project. If desired, we will make a presentation to the NVTa Board summarizing the environmental review process and EIR conclusions.

If the project is approved, Rincon will advise NVTa in preparing and filing a Notice of Determination within five working days after approval. Filing within five days of the decision will start a 30-day statute of limitations on court challenges to the approval under CEQA. We assume that NVTa will be responsible for all required filing fees including the California Department of Fish & Wildlife CEQA fee.

### **SCHEDULE**

- **Notice of Preparation:** Rincon will prepare the NOP and Initial Study for release within four weeks of notice to proceed.
- **Scoping Meeting:** If desired by NVTa, Rincon will organize and manage a scoping meeting during the 30-day NOP period.



- **Administrative Draft EIR:** The Administrative Draft EIR will be completed within approximately two weeks of the close of the NOP circulation period, depending on the scope of comments received on the NOP.
- **Screencheck Draft EIR:** Rincon will produce the Screencheck EIR within two weeks of receipt of NVTa comments on the administrative draft. This schedule assumes that NVTa comments are coordinated into a single consistent set of comments and that no new substantial analysis will be needed as a result.
- **Public Draft EIR:** Rincon will revise and produce the Public Draft EIR within approximately two weeks (including production time) of receipt of comments on the Screencheck Draft.
- **Response to Comments/Administrative Final EIR:** Draft responses to comments on the Draft EIR and the draft MMRP will be submitted within approximately two to three weeks of receipt of all comments, depending on the number and complexity of the comments received.
- **Final EIR:** Rincon will produce the Final EIR within one week of comments on the Response to Comments/Administrative Final EIR.

The schedule above envisions an approximately 5- to 8-month schedule from authorization to completion of a hearing-ready Final EIR. The ability to meet this schedule depends on the length of time needed for NVTa review of draft work products and the number and complexity of public comments on the Draft EIR.

## **COST**

As detailed in the attached spreadsheet, Rincon Consultants will prepare the EIR for the project, in accordance with our proposed scope of services, for an estimated cost of **\$47,972**. We have budgeted 38 hours of Rincon professional staff time to prepare responses to comments on the Draft EIR. This would bring our total authorized budget from \$160,798 (as amended in November 2016) to **\$208,770**.

We appreciate your consideration of this request to add services. If you have any questions or would like any additional information, please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,  
**RINCON CONSULTANTS, INC.**

Abe Leider, AICP CEP  
Principal



## Napa Valley Transportation Authority

### Vine Transit Bus Maintenance Facility Environmental Impact Report 2/16/2017

Cost Estimate								
Tasks	Team Totals		Principal I	Senior Professional I	Professional IV	Technical Editor	GIS Specialist I	Clerical I
	Cost	Hours						
			\$205	\$145	\$130	\$100	\$95	\$68
Focused EIR								
1. IS, NOP and Scoping Meeting	\$9,512	74	4	6	52	6	2	4
2. Administrative Draft EIR	\$544	8						8
2.1 Executive Summary	\$1,375	10	1	2	6	1		
2.2 Introduction, Project Description and Environmental Setting	\$1,925	14	1	4	8	1		
2.3 Environmental Impact Analysis								
Biological Resources	\$4,720	35	2	8	22	1	2	
Hydrology/Water Quality	\$2,800	21	1	4	14	1	1	
2.4 Other CEQA-Related Discussions	\$970	7	1	1	4	1		
2.5 Alternatives	\$5,005	36	4	6	24	1	1	
6. Draft EIR	\$2,564	23	1	4	8	1	1	8
7. Final EIR	\$408	6						6
Responses to Comments	\$5,368	39	4	8	24	2		1
MMRP	\$733	6		1	4			1
Final EIR Publication	\$1,487	13	1	2	4	2		4
8. Public Hearings (2) and NOD	\$2,588	16	6	8	1			1
Project Management	\$4,318	27	8	18				1
<b>Subtotal Labor:</b>	<b>\$44,317</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>34</b>

Additional Costs	
Printing (20 Draft, 15 Final copies and 40 CDs)	\$2,175
Supplies and Miscellaneous Expenses	\$1,480
<b>Total Additional Costs</b>	<b>\$3,655</b>
<b>TOTAL LABOR + ADDITIONAL COSTS</b>	<b>\$47,972</b>